Republic” (169). That was so at least until the tragic military defeat and humiliation of May/June 1940 at the hands of a resurgent Nazi Germany.

For students of comparative interwar politics, Institutions and Innovation is a must, and it should be included in any library that deals with that subject and the histories of France and Germany. Both political scientists and historians will find it useful and interesting.

ERIC A. ARNOLD JR.
University of Denver
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Pierre Birnbaum is a well-known authority on French political history and a professor of politics and philosophy at the Sorbonne. He is perhaps best known for his research on the history of Jews in France. In The Idea of France, Birnbaum turns his attention to the history of the competition to assign the proper cultural grounding for the nation of France. His purpose is to examine the socioeconomic conflicts that cut through French society “in an attempt to retrace the main forms of symbolic significance that presided over the nation’s conception” (11).

Birnbaum presents the conflict over the definition of the French nation from absolutist monarchy to present democracy. He explains that national unity was forged from above, was insistent on religious conformity, and did not allow for political or religious diversity, thus excluding Protestants and Jews. National identity was also dependent on a strong state. The 1789 Revolution created a competing model of the national concept based on republican unity, and the Catholic counterrevolution reasserted the older conceptualization of national identity based in a common faith. Those conflicting visions of the nation, both of which excluded any type of difference, and the legacy of a strong state retarded the development of liberalism and pluralism in French national culture and shaped French political life and culture until recently.

Change began in the Fourth Republic with the creation of a Christian democratic party, a sign that Catholics had at last accepted and rallied to the state. The struggle over meaning ended with François Mitterrand’s death and the Republic’s first Catholic state funeral services. With the renunciation of its view of a France unified in Catholicism, and by embracing cultural diversity, the Catholic Church became the spokesman for French multiculturalism. The France released from the tyranny of utopian myths was determined to show respect for the cultural memories of all its citizens and to give them a sense of shared civic values. It was determined, too, to encourage all its citizens to work on behalf of the public good (282).

Birnbaum’s analysis provides a broader and more fundamental framework for understanding the unique realities of the history of modern France. Periods in French history such as Vichy and phenomenas such as the National Front are, in this analysis, no longer peculiar French reactions to current events; they are instead part of an ongoing debate about French national identity.

Previous works on French nationalism have tended to study the forging of national identity in the modern era, and particularly the Third Republic’s use of national identity to create unity. Birnbaum’s work emphasizes not only that national identity predates the modern era, but that the issue of identity has been but a modern incarnation of older tensions.

The Idea of France is intended for professional historians and advanced graduate students who are already familiar with the history of modern France.

CLAIRE A. SANDERS
Texas Christian University
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For approximately fifty years Robert B. Asprey, a retired U.S. Marine officer and veteran of two wars, has been a noted military historian. Recently, he has written two military-related biographies: Frederick the Great: The Magnificent Eunuch (1986) and, since then, two volumes on Napoleon Bonaparte, The Rise of Napoleon Bonaparte (2000) and its sequel, The Reign of Napoleon Bonaparte, herein considered.

Asprey sets out to portray Napoleon as neither a “demi-god” nor “devil incarnate” (xvii), and here he largely succeeds. However, he also attempts to show that “[a]llmost constant warfare was a legacy of the revolutionary chaos, a series of wars invoked by European and English rulers determined to topple the dangerous interloper and restore Bourbon and feudalistic rule to France” (xviii).

Some would definitely disagree with Asprey’s contention that Napoleon was completely blameless for the wars that troubled the period. One has only to consider any one of the many treaties that Napoleon imposed on his defeated adversaries—to see the one-sidedness and humiliation of them—to understand that those treaties lay the seeds of future wars. Whatever he may have claimed on St. Helena, in practice between 1800 and 1815, Napoleon did not believe in a lasting peace of reconciliation.

Asprey demonstrates a thorough understanding of Napoleon’s published correspondence—the he has not made use of any archival