

# Multiplicative Persistence of nonzero fixed point digits

Colin Lubner  
Robert Styer

(correspondence: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Villanova University, 800 Lancaster Avenue, Villanova, PA 19085-1699, phone 610-519-4845, fax 610-519-6928, robert.styer@villanova.edu)

Keywords: persistence, digit problem, multiplicative persistence, iterated digit functions  
Mathematical Subject Classification 2010: Primary: 00A08, 97A20

## Abstract

If  $f(n)$  is the product of the digits of  $n$ , we define the multiplicative persistence of  $n$  as the number of iterations  $k$  needed so  $f^k(n)$  is a single digit. Almost all positive integers have a fixed point zero; we investigate those cases whose fixed point is a nonzero digit. In bases 4, 6, 10, and 12, if the fixed point is relatively prime to the base, the maximum persistence is one.

## 1 Introduction

Neil J. A. Sloane, perhaps best known as the creator and maintainer of the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS), defines the multiplicative persistence of a number as the number of steps to reach a one-digit number when repeatedly multiplying the digits together [9]. (As a side note, Sloane is also the author of two rock-climbing guides to New Jersey [6], [7].) He conjectured that the number of iterates needed to reach a fixed point is bounded, in particular, in base 10, he conjectured that one needs at most 11 iterates to reach a single digit.

**Definition 1.** Let  $n = \sum_{j=0}^r d_j B^j$ , with each  $0 \leq d_j < B$ , be the base  $B$  expansion of  $n$ . We define the digital product function as  $f(n) = \prod_{j=0}^r d_j$ .

The persistence of a number  $n$  is defined as the minimum number  $k$  of iterates  $f^k(n) = d$  needed to reach a single digit  $d$ . We will say that  $n$  collapses to the digit  $d$ .

It is well known that every number has finite persistence, and that almost all numbers iterate to zero (see [1], [2], [3], [4], [8], [10]). In this paper we consider the iterates that collapse to nonzero digits in base 10.

Example: Let  $n = 5579$ . Then  $f(5579) = 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 = 1575$ , then  $f(1575) = 1 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 5 = 175$ , then  $f(175) = 1 \cdot 7 \cdot 5 = 35$ , then  $f(35) = 3 \cdot 5 = 15$ , and  $f(15) = 1 \cdot 5 = 5$ ; in other words,  $f^5(5579) = 5$ , so 5579 has persistence 5.

One easily sees that  $n = 9755$  or  $n = 137155311$  or  $n = 191151117511$  also have persistence 5, since each of these has  $f(n) = 1575$ . Thus, adding or removing the digit 1 does not change the persistence, nor does rearranging the digits, nor does replacing digits that are products of smaller digits by these smaller digits (such as replacing 9 by two digits 3) affect the persistence.

We claim that the maximum persistence of a number that collapses to 1, 3, 7, or 9 is 1. We also will find probable bounds for the persistence of a number that collapses to 2, 4, 5, 6, or 8.

## 2 Fixed points relatively prime to the base

Looking at a table of values of  $n$  that collapse to a nonzero digit (see [11]), one notes that numbers which collapse to 1, 3, 7, and 9 look quite simple.

The easiest case is when  $f(n_1) = 1$ . This can only happen when each digit of  $n_1$  is 1, that is,  $n_1 = (10^m - 1)/9$  for some positive integer  $m$ . Now suppose  $f(f(n_2)) = 1$ . Then  $f(n_2) = (10^m - 1)/9$ , so the product of the digits of  $n_2$  must end in a 1 (that is, viewing the product modulo 10), thus  $n_1$  has no even digits nor a digit 5. Thus, the only possible digits of  $n_2$  are 1, 3, 7, and 9, hence  $f(n_2) = 3^a 7^b$  for some nonnegative integer powers  $a$  and  $b$ . Note that  $3^{20} \equiv 1 \pmod{100}$  and  $7^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{100}$ . One can verify that  $3^a 7^b \not\equiv 11 \pmod{100}$  for any  $0 \leq a < 20$  and  $0 \leq b < 4$ . Thus, it is not possible for any  $n_2$  to have  $f(n_2)$  be a repunit with all digits 1. In other words, the only numbers that iterate to 1 are repunits  $(10^m - 1)/9$ .

Amazingly, this same idea works for 3, 7, and 9 as well.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $f^k(n) = 1, 3, 7,$  or  $9$  for some iterate  $k$ . Then  $n$  has maximum persistence 1. More precisely:*

- *Suppose  $n$  collapses to 1. Then  $n = (10^m - 1)/9$  for some positive integer  $m$ .*
- *Suppose  $n$  collapses to 3. Then  $n$  has a single digit 3 and the rest of the digits are 1.*
- *Suppose  $n$  collapses to 7. Then  $n$  has a single digit 7 and the rest of the digits are 1.*
- *Suppose  $n$  collapses to 9. Then  $n$  has either a single digit 9 or exactly two digits 3, and the rest of the digits are 1.*

*Proof.* Suppose there is a number  $n$  with persistence  $k \geq 2$  collapsing to 1, 3, 7, or 9. Let  $n_2 = f^{k-2}(n)$  and  $n_1 = f^{k-1}(n)$ . Since  $f(n_1) = 1, 3, 7,$  or  $9$ , then every digit of  $n_1$  must be 1, except for a possible single digit of 3 or 7 or 9, or two digits equaling 3. In particular, the last digit of  $n_1$  cannot be even or 5. Since the last digit of  $n_1$  is not even nor 5,  $n_2$  cannot have any even digit or a digit of 5. So the only possible digits of  $n_2$  are 1, 3, 7, or 9. Thus,  $f(n_2) = n_1 = 3^a 7^b$  for some nonnegative integers  $a$  and  $b$ .

We look at  $3^a 7^b$  modulo 100. Note 3 is of order 20 modulo 100, and 7 is of order 4 modulo 100. One can verify that for every choice of  $0 \leq a < 20$  and  $0 \leq b < 4$ , the next to last digit (the tens digit) is always even. Since every  $n_1$  has all odd digits,  $3^a 7^b$  can never equal  $n_1$ . So it is impossible to find any  $n_2$  with  $f(n_2) = n_1$ , proving our theorem.  $\square$

This type of argument can never work if  $B + 1$  is composite; if base  $B$  has  $B + 1 = d_1 \cdot d_0$ , then  $f^2(d_1 \cdot B + d_0) = f(d_1 \cdot d_0) = f(B + 1) = 1$ . If  $B + 1$  is prime, this type of argument still might fail, for instance, in base 16,  $f^2(37D) = f(111) = 1$ , while in base 18,  $f^2(777) = f(111) = 1$ . But the same base 10 argument does work for bases 4, 6, and 12.

**Theorem 2.** *Let the base  $B = 4$ . Suppose  $f^k(n) = 1$  or  $3$ . Then  $n$  has maximum persistence 1.*

*Let the base  $B = 6$ . Suppose  $f^k(n) = 1$  or  $5$ . Then  $n$  has maximum persistence 1.*

*Let the base  $B = 12$ . Suppose  $f^k(n) = 1$  or  $5$  or  $7$  or the digit 11. Then  $n$  has maximum persistence 1.*

*Proof.* Consider base  $B = 4$ . Suppose there is a number  $n$  with persistence  $k \geq 2$  collapsing to 1 or 3. Let  $n_2 = f^{k-2}(n)$  and  $n_1 = f^{k-1}(n)$ . Since  $f(n_1) = 1$  or  $3$ , then every digit of  $n_1$  must be 1, except for a possible

single digit of 3. In particular, the last digit of  $n_1$  must be 1 or 3. Since the last digit of  $n_1$  is not 0 or 2,  $n_2$  cannot have a 0 or 2 digit. So the only possible digits of  $n_2$  are 1 and 3, so  $f(n_2) = 3^a$  for some positive integer  $a$ . Note that  $3^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{4^2}$ . Since, in base 4,  $3^1 \equiv 03 \pmod{100}$ ,  $3^2 \equiv 21 \pmod{100}$ ,  $3^3 \equiv 23 \pmod{100}$  and  $3^4 \equiv 01 \pmod{100}$ , we see that the second digit (the ‘fours’ digit) is always even. But every  $n_1$  has all odd digits, so  $3^a$  can never equal  $n_1$ . Thus, it is impossible to find any  $n_2$  with  $f(n_2) = n_1$ , proving the base 4 case.

Similarly, consider base  $B = 6$ . Suppose there is a number  $n$  with persistence  $k \geq 2$  collapsing to 1 or 5. Let  $n_2 = f^{k-2}(n)$  and  $n_1 = f^{k-1}(n)$ . Since  $f(n_1) = 1$  or 5, then every digit of  $n_1$  must be 1, except for a possible single digit of 5. In particular, the last digit of  $n_1$  must be 1 or 5. Since the last digit of  $n_1$  is not 0, 2, 3, or 4,  $n_2$  cannot have a 0, 2, 3, or 4 digit. So the only possible digits of  $n_2$  are 1 and 5, so  $f(n_2) = 5^a$  for some positive integer  $a$ . Note that  $5^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{6^2}$ . In base 6,  $5^1 \equiv 05 \pmod{100}$ ,  $5^2 \equiv 41 \pmod{100}$ ,  $5^3 \equiv 25 \pmod{100}$ ,  $5^4 \equiv 21 \pmod{100}$ ,  $5^5 \equiv 45 \pmod{100}$  and  $5^6 \equiv 01 \pmod{100}$ ; we see that the second digit (the ‘sixes’ digit) is always even. Since every  $n_1$  has only digits 1 and 5,  $5^a$  can never equal  $n_1$ . So it is impossible to find any  $n_2$  with  $f(n_2) = n_1$ , proving the base 6 case.

Finally, consider base  $B = 12$ . Suppose there is a number  $n$  with persistence  $k \geq 2$  collapsing to 1, 5, 7, or the digit ‘11’. Let  $n_2 = f^{k-2}(n)$  and  $n_1 = f^{k-1}(n)$ . Since  $f(n_1) = 1, 5, 7,$  or the digit ‘11’, then every digit of  $n_1$  must be 1, except for a possible single digit of 5 or 7 or ‘11’. In particular, the last digit of  $n_1$  must be 1, 5, 7, or ‘11’. Since the last digit of  $n_1$  is not even or divisible by 3,  $n_2$  cannot have digit which is even or divisible by 3. So the only possible digits of  $n_2$  are 1, 5, 7, or ‘11’, so  $f(n_2) = 5^a 7^b 11^c$  for some nonnegative integers  $a, b, c$  (at least one exponent is positive). Since 5, 7, and 11 have orders 12, 6, and 12 modulo  $12^2$ , respectively, we only need to check  $5^a 7^b 11^c$  modulo  $12^2$  for  $0 \leq a < 12, 0 \leq b < 6,$  and  $0 \leq c < 12$ . One can verify that each  $5^a 7^b 11^c$  has an even digit in the second place (the ‘tens’ digit in duodecimal). Since every  $n_1$  has only odd digits,  $5^a 7^b 11^c$  can never equal  $n_1$ . So it is impossible to find any  $n_2$  with  $f(n_2) = n_1$ , proving the base 12 case of the theorem.  $\square$

### 3 Bounds on persistence of numbers collapsing to 2, 4, 5, 6, 8

We now return to base  $B = 10$ . In the previous section we dealt with the cases when  $f^k(n) = 1, 3, 7$  or 9. We now find lower bounds on the persistence of the other nonzero decimal digits.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $n < 10^{1000}$  be a positive integer.*

*If  $f^k(n) = 2$ , the persistence  $k$  is at most 6; only  $n$  with  $f(n) = 2^6 7^8$  have persistence 6.*

*If  $f^k(n) = 4$ , the persistence  $k$  is at most 5; only  $n$  with  $f(n) = 2^{23} 3^{77} 7^1$  have persistence 5.*

*If  $f^k(n) = 5$ , the persistence  $k$  is at most 5; only  $n$  with  $f(n) = 3^5 5^1 7^2$  or  $3^{25} 2^7 7^1$  or  $3^{25} 2^7 3$  have persistence 5.*

*If  $f^k(n) = 6$ , the persistence  $k$  is at most 8; only  $n$  with  $f(n) = 2^6 3^{27} 7^1$  have persistence 8.*

*If  $f^k(n) = 8$ , the persistence  $k$  is at most 6; only  $n$  with  $f(n) = 2^{20} 3^{57} 7^1$  or  $2^{39} 3^{37} 7^2$  have persistence 6.*

*Proof.* As before, we write  $f(n)$  in terms of the digits  $f(n) = 1^a \cdot 2^b \cdot 3^c \cdot 4^d \cdot 5^e \cdot 6^f \cdot 7^g \cdot 8^h \cdot 9^i$  for some nonnegative integers  $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h,$  and  $i$ . We replace each 4 with two 2s, each 6 with a 3 and a 2, each 8 with three 2s, and each 9 with two 3s. Thus,  $f(n) = 2^\alpha \cdot 3^\beta \cdot 5^e \cdot 7^g$  where  $\alpha = b + 2d + 3h$  and  $\beta = c + 2i$ . If  $\min(\alpha, e) > 0$  then the last digit of  $f(n)$  would be zero, so  $n$  would collapse to zero, a case we are not considering in this paper. Thus, we only need to consider  $f(n) = 2^\alpha \cdot 3^\beta \cdot 7^g$  or  $f(n) = 3^\beta \cdot 5^e \cdot 7^g$ . Since we are assuming  $n$  has at most 1000 digits, we have  $\lceil \alpha/3 \rceil + \lceil \beta/2 \rceil + g \leq 1000$  and  $\lceil \beta/2 \rceil + e + g \leq 1000$ . Using Maple, we check the persistence for each  $f(n) = 2^\alpha \cdot 3^\beta \cdot 7^g$  or  $f(n) = 3^\beta \cdot 5^e \cdot 7^g$  that collapse to either 2, 4, 5, 6, or 8, with the restrictions  $\lceil \alpha/3 \rceil + \lceil \beta/2 \rceil + g \leq 1000$  and  $\lceil \beta/2 \rceil + e + g \leq 1000$ . We find that

none have persistence greater than the listed maxima for each case, and that the maxima in this range are reached only by the listed cases.  $\square$

Based on similar calculations, we have these conjectural bounds on persistence: in base 4, the maximum persistence of any number collapsing to 2 is 3; in base 6, the maximum persistence of a number collapsing to the digit 2 or digit 4 is 5, to the digit 3 is 2; in base 12, the maximum persistence of a number collapsing to digits 2 or 10 is 3, to digit 3 is 4, to digit 4 is 6, to digits 6 or 8 is 5, to digit 9 is 4.

## References

- [1] Beeler, M., Gosper, R.W., and Schroepel, R. HAKMEM. MIT AI Memo 239, Feb. 29, 1972 <http://www.inwap.com/pdp10/hbaker/hakmem/number.html#item56>
- [2] Carmody, P., OEIS A003001, and a 'Zero-Length Message'. 23 Jul 2001. <http://listserv.nodak.edu/cgi-bin/wa.exe?A2=ind0107&L=NMBRTHRY&P=R1036&I=-3>.
- [3] M. R. Diamond, Multiplicative persistence base 10: some new null results, *JoOUP* **3** (2010), 1–10 <http://www.markdiamond.com.au/download/joous-3-1-1.pdf>.
- [4] Gottlieb, A. J., Problems 28-29 in “Bridge, Group Theory, and a Jigsaw Puzzle.” *Technology Rev.* **72**, Dec 1969, unpaginated
- [5] Guy, Richard, “F25”, *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory* 3rd edition, Springer 2004.
- [6] Sloane, Neil and Nick, Paul, *Classic Rock Climbs No. 5: New Jersey Crags, New Jersey*. Chockstone Pr: 1999
- [7] Nick, Paul and Sloane, Neil. *Rock Climbing New Jersey*. Falcon Publishing, Guilford: 2000. Print.
- [8] Perez, Stephanie and Styer, Robert. Persistence: A Digit Problem. **Involve: A Journal of Mathematics** **8**, 3 (2015), 439–446.
- [9] Sloane, Neil J. A., The Persistence of a Number. *Journal of Recreational Mathematics* **6**, 2 (1973), 97–98.
- [10] Wagstaff, S. W., Iterating the Product of Shifted Digits, *Fibonacci Quarterly* **19** (1981), 340–347.
- [11] Weisstein, Eric W., “Multiplicative Persistence.” From MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/MultiplicativePersistence.html>, accessed 26 June 2012.