

Smallest examples of strings of consecutive happy numbers

Robert Styer

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Villanova University

Villanova, PA 19085

USA

Abstract

A happy number N is defined by the condition $S^n(N) = 1$ for some number n of iterations of the function S , where $S(N)$ is the sum of the squares of the digits of N . Up to 10^{20} , the longest known string of consecutive happy numbers was length five. We find the smallest string of consecutive happy numbers of length 6, 7, 8, \dots , 13. For instance, the smallest string of six consecutive happy numbers begins with $N = 78999999999999959999999996$. We also find the smallest sequence of 3-consecutive cubic happy numbers of lengths 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9.

1 Introduction

Many recreational math problems deal with various combinations of digits. Let $N = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j 10^j$ with $0 \leq a_j \leq 9$ be the decimal expansion of N . Define $S(N) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j^2$, and define S^k to be the k -fold iterate of S . Honsberger [3] (see also Beardon [1] and Grundman and Teeple [4]) gives a simple proof that, for every positive integer N , there is a positive integer k such that either $S^k(N) = 1$, a fixed point, or $S^k(N) = 4$, which is part of the 8-cycle $\{16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4\}$.

We say a number is happy if the iterates are eventually equal to the fixed point 1. The first happy number bigger than 1 is 7, and indeed, it seems that about 1 out of 7 numbers is happy [7]. The smallest pair of consecutive numbers is 31 and 32, and the smallest with 3, 4 or 5 consecutive happy numbers were known; for example, 44488, 44489, 44490, 44491, 44492 is the smallest string of five consecutive happy numbers. Guy [7] asked how many consecutive happy numbers one can have, and noted that Jud McCranie calculated that up to 10^{20} the longest consecutive string is only of length five. El-Sedy and Siksek [2] published the first proof that there can be arbitrarily long strings of consecutive happy numbers (although H. Lenstra knew a proof earlier; Teeple generalized his unpublished proof in her undergraduate honors thesis [10].) See also Grundman and Teeple [5], [6], and Pan [8]. Their techniques do

not come remotely close to finding the least examples, however, and we propose to find the smallest instance of six or more consecutive happy numbers.

J. A. Littlewood said “A technique is a trick used more than once.” In their paper on happy numbers, El-Sedy and Siksek [2] end their paper by using a trick to calculate a huge number $l = \sum_{r=1}^{233192} 9 \cdot 10^{4+r} + 20958$ with certain properties that are critical to their proof. We can transform their trick into a technique that could be used to calculate a much smaller value for a number l with their desired properties; the minimal example is $l = 469999999099999999969$.

With this technique, we calculate the smallest N beginning a sequence of 6 to 13 consecutive happy numbers. We use a period as the concatenation operator, and list the number of nine digits in parentheses. For example, $N = 58.(11 \text{ nines}).6.(144 \text{ nines}).5$ means

$$N = 58 \cdot 10^{157} + 10^{146}(10^{11} - 1) + 6 \cdot 10^{145} + 10(10^{144} - 1) + 5$$

that is, a 159 digit number given by the digits 58 followed by eleven digits 9, then the digit 6, then one hundred forty-four digits 9, and ending with the digit 5. In this table, n is the length of the sequence of consecutive happy numbers, $digits$ is the number of digits in each member of the sequence, and N is the first number of the sequence of n consecutive happy numbers.

n	$digits$	N
2	2	31
3	4	1880
4	4	7839
5	5	44488
6	25	7899999999999959999999996
7	25	7899999999999959999999996
8	159	58.(11 nines).6.(144 nines).5
9	215	26.(137 nines).7.(74 nines).5
10	651	38.(560 nines).0.(87 nines).5
11	1571	27.(280 nines).0.(1287 nines).4
12	158162	388.(158021 nines).8.(136 nines).4
13	603699	288.(218491 nines).3.(385203 nines).3

2 Six Consecutive Happy Numbers

In this section we prove the following proposition for six consecutive happy numbers. At the end of this section, we will claim that the same ideas apply to find the least examples of 7 through 13 consecutive happy numbers.

Proposition 1 $N_0 = 7899999999999959999999996$ is the smallest number that begins a sequence of six consecutive happy numbers.

It is easy to verify that each of these is a happy number:

7899999999999959999999996
7899999999999959999999997
7899999999999959999999998
7899999999999959999999999
7899999999999960000000000
7899999999999960000000001

Note that these are 25 digit numbers, so if there were a smaller N beginning a sequence of six consecutive happy numbers, it must have $S(N) < 2025 = 25 \cdot 9^2$. El-Sedy and Siksek [2] note the following:

Lemma 1 *If N_1 and N_2 are natural numbers with $N_2 < 10^k$ then $S(N_1 * 10^k + N_2) = S(N_1) + S(N_2)$.*

Let $N \leq 7899999999999959999999996$ begin a string of six consecutive happy numbers. Let $N = N_1.d_0$ where d_0 is the final digit of N , and N_1 is formed from the rest of the digits. We organize our proof of the proposition by looking at the various possibilities for d_0 .

Let $M_1 = S(N_1)$. Then $S(N) = M_1 + S(d_0)$ and, as noted above, we may assume $M_1 < 2025$.

Lemma 2 *Let $N \leq 7899999999999959999999996$ and $N = N_1.d_0$. If $d_0 = 0$, one can have at most three successive happy numbers, N , $N + 1$, $N + 2$.*

Proof: Suppose the last digit of N is $d_0 = 0$. Note that $S(N) = S(N_1) = M_1$, $S(N + 1) = S(N_1) + 1^2 = M_1 + 1^2$, $S(N + 2) = S(N_1) + 2^2 = M_1 + 2^2$, and $S(N + 3) = S(N_1) + 3^2 = M_1 + 3^2$. We simply calculate that M_1 , $M_1 + 1^2$, $M_1 + 2^2$ and $M_1 + 3^2$ are not simultaneously happy for any $M_1 < 2025$. (For the relevant Maple programs, see [9].)

Lemma 3 *Let $N \leq 7899999999999959999999996$ and $N = N_1.d_0$. Suppose $d_0 = 1, 2, 3, 4$ or 5 . Then there are at most four consecutive happy numbers beginning at N .*

Proof: We check if $M_1 + d_0^2$, $M_1 + (d_0 + 1)^2$, $M_1 + (d_0 + 2)^2$, $M_1 + (d_0 + 3)^2$ and $M_1 + (d_0 + 4)^2$ are simultaneously happy for any value of $M_1 \leq 2025$; our calculations show there are at most four consecutive happy numbers for N with $d_0 = 1, 2, 3, 4$ or 5 .

Lemma 4 *Let $N \leq 7899999999999959999999996$ and $N = N_1.d_0$. Suppose $d_0 = 8$ or 9 . Then there are at most five consecutive happy numbers beginning at N .*

Proof: Suppose N has final digit $d_0 = 8$. Then $N + 2$ would have final digit 0, so by Lemma 2, the sequence can extend to at most $N + 4$. Similarly, if $d_0 = 9$ then $N + 1$ has final digit 0 and by Lemma 2 the sequence extends to at most $N + 3$. In neither case can we have six consecutive happy numbers. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 5 *Let $N \leq 7899999999999959999999996$ and $N = N_1.d_0$. Suppose $d_0 = 7$. Then there are at most five consecutive happy numbers beginning at N .*

Proof: Suppose N ends in the digit $d_0 = 7$. Using a Maple program, we checked for three consecutive happy numbers, that is, we checked if $M_1 + 7^2$, $M_1 + 8^2$, and $M_1 + 9^2$ are all happy for some $M_1 < 2025$. There are three cases: $M_1 = 568, 574$ and 1839 .

We now show that $M_1 = 568$ cannot yield three happy numbers “after the carry.” Note that $N + 3 = (N_1 + 1).0$. Set $N_1 = N_2.d.9 \dots 9$ where digit $d \leq 8$, and by convention $N_2 = 0$ if N_2 is empty, and $N_2 = 0$ and $d = 0$ if both are empty. Let k be the number of digits of 9 ending N_1 . Then $S(N_1) = S(N_2) + d^2 + 9^2k$, so $S(N_2) = S(N_1) - d^2 - 9^2k$. Also, $N_1 + 1 = N_2.(d + 1).0 \dots 0$ with k zeros at the end, so $S(N_1 + 1) = S(N_2) + (d + 1)^2$. Thus, $S(N_1 + 1) = S(N_1) + (d + 1)^2 - d^2 - 9^2k = S(N_1) + (2d + 1) - 9^2k$. Since $M_1 = S(N_1) = S(N_2) + d^2 + 9^2k = 568$, we have $9^2k \leq 568$ so $k \leq 7$. We now look for values (k, d) , with $0 \leq k \leq 7$ and $0 \leq d \leq 8$, for which these three are happy:

$$\begin{aligned} S(N + 3) &= M_1 + 2d + 1 - 81k + 0^2, \\ S(N + 4) &= M_1 + 2d + 1 - 81k + 1^2, \\ S(N + 5) &= M_1 + 2d + 1 - 81k + 2^2. \end{aligned}$$

A simple computer search shows that this never happens.

Similarly, when $M_1 = 574$ there are no pairs (k, d) giving three consecutive happy numbers “after the carry.” When $M_1 = 1839$, however, we have one solution, namely $k = 9, d = 5$, and $S(N_2) = 1085$. Using the methods described in the next section, we find that the minimal value for N_2 with $S(N_2) = 1085$ is $N_2 = 78999999999999$. Thus, $N = N_2.d.9 \dots 9.7 = 7899999999999959999999997$ which of course is one more than the minimal case we find in the next lemma.

Lemma 6 *Let $N \leq 78999999999999599999999996$ and $N = N_1.d_0$. Suppose $d_0 = 6$. Then there are at most five consecutive happy numbers beginning at N , unless*

$$N = 78999999999999599999999996$$

which begins a sequence of six consecutive happy numbers.

Proof: Suppose N ends in the digit $d_0 = 6$. We check for four consecutive happy numbers, that is, we check whether $M_1 + 6^2, M_1 + 7^2, M_1 + 8^2$ and $M_1 + 9^2$ are happy for any $M_1 < 2025$. We find one case: $M_1 = 1839$.

As before, set $N_1 = N_2.d.9 \dots 9$ where digit $d \leq 8$, and by convention $N_2 = 0$ if N_2 is empty and $N_2 = 0$ and $d = 0$ if both are empty. Let k be the number of digits of 9 ending N_1 . Then as before, $S(N_1 + 1) = S(N_1) + (2d + 1) - 9^2k$. Since $M_1 = S(N_1) = S(N_2) + d^2 + 9^2k = 1839$, we have $9^2k \leq 1839$ so $k \leq 22$. We now look for values (k, d) , with $0 \leq k \leq 22$ and $0 \leq d \leq 8$, for which these two are happy:

$$\begin{aligned} S(N + 4) &= M_1 + 2d + 1 - 81k + 0^2, \\ S(N + 5) &= M_1 + 2d + 1 - 81k + 1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Our search yields five pairs, (k, d) , each corresponding to a string of six consecutive happy numbers. We list these pairs along with the corresponding values of $S(N - 2)$ in the table, below. For each value $S(N_2)$, we list the least value of N_2 possible, computed using the methods of the next section, then list the resulting value of N .

then $L(n) = \min_{d=1,2,\dots,9}\{L(n-d^2) \cdot 10 + d\}$. Direct calculation shows that every $L(n)$ with $448 < n \leq 809$ has a digit 9, thus, the largest n for which $L(n)$ has no digit 9 is $n = 448$, for which $L(448) = 8888888$, ending the proof of this lemma.

Lemma 8 For $n \geq 486$, let $q = \lfloor \frac{n}{81} \rfloor - 5$ and $n_0 = n - 81q$. Then $L(n) = L(n_0) \cdot 10^q + (10^q - 1)$.

Proof: For $n \geq 486$, we have $q \geq 1$ and $n_0 < 486$. In the previous lemma, we showed that if $n \geq 448$, then $L(n)$ ends with at least one digit of nine, so $L(n) = L(n - 9^2) \cdot 10 + 9$. We proceed by induction on q . If $q = 1$, then $L(n) = L(n - 9^2) \cdot 10 + 9 = L(n_0) + (10^1 - 1)$. Assuming the inductive hypothesis $L(n - 9^2) = L(n_0) \cdot 10^{q-1} + (10^{q-1} - 1)$, we see that $L(n) = L(n - 9^2) \cdot 10 + 9 = L(n_0) \cdot 10^{q-1+1} + (10^{q-1} - 1) \cdot 10 + 9 = L(n_0) \cdot 10^q + (10^q - 1)$. In other words, $L(n)$ is simply q digits of 9 concatenated to the end of $L(n_0)$. This ends our proof.

In Section 4, we will need the cubic happy number analogies to Lemmas 7 and 8. Define $L_3(n) = \min\{N | S_{3,10}(N) = n\}$ where $S_{3,10}(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i 10^i) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i^3$. We can show that the cubic case analog to the quadratic extremal case $N = 1112223344456667778888888$ above is

$$N = 1111111222222333344444555566677777888888888$$

which has $S(N) = 8297$. Arguments analogous to those in Lemmas 7 and 8 show the following:

Lemma 9 The largest n_0 for which $L_3(n_0)$ has no digit of 9 is $n_0 = 4609$. For $n \geq 5832$, let $q = \lfloor \frac{n}{9^3} \rfloor - 7$ and $n_0 = n - 9^3q$. Then $L_3(n) = L_3(n_0) \cdot 10^q + (10^q - 1)$.

As an aside, we provide a table of the largest n for which $L(n)$ does not contain certain digits, and the corresponding table for $L_3(n)$.

largest allowable digit in $L(n)$	n_{max}	$L(n_{max})$
1	3	111
2	12	222
3	23	1233
4	48	444
5	48	444
6	112	2666
7	151	2777
8	448	8888888

largest allowable digit in $L_3(n)$	n_{max}	$L_3(n_{max})$
1	7	1111111
2	50	11222222
3	124	223333
4	329	1244444
5	572	245555
6	932	555566
7	2183	5777777
8	4609	188888888

Note that it is possible that $n_2 > n_1$ and $L(n_2) < L(n_1)$, for instance, $L(243) = 999 < L(7) = 1112$. This extreme difference of $243 - 7 = 236$ does not happen again, but since $L(162+9^2m) < L(54+9^2m)$ for all nonnegative integers m , $L(n_2) < L(n_1)$ with $n_2 - n_1 = 108$ does occur infinitely often.

4 Sequences of Cubic Happy Numbers

Grundman and Teeple [4] define generalized e -power b -happy numbers in terms of the generalized digit power function

$$S_{e,b}\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i b^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i^e,$$

where $0 \leq a_i < b$. If for some m we have $S_{e,b}^m(N) = 1$ then N is an e -power b -happy number. The classic happy numbers have $e = 2$ and $b = 10$, and the well-studied cubic happy numbers have $e = 3$ and $b = 10$. Grundman and Teeple note that each e -power b -happy number is congruent to 1 modulo $d = \gcd(e, b - 1)$. So Grundman and Teeple define a d -consecutive sequence as an arithmetic sequence with common difference d ; they prove one can find arbitrarily long such sequences for many choices of $\{e, b\}$, in particular, for the cubic happy numbers where $e = 3$, $b = 10$ and $d = 3$.

Our methods can be extended to find the least 3-consecutive sequence of cubic happy numbers. A naive search shows that the smallest 3-consecutive sequence of two cubic happy numbers is $\{1198, 1201\}$, and that the smallest of length three is $\{169957, 169960, 169963\}$. Here is a table of our results:

n	digits	N
2	4	1198
3	6	169957
4	16	155559999999999916
5	29	35588899999799999999999999989
6	101	28888.(21 nines).1.(72 nines).89
7	234	3577.(228 nines).45
8	242	1126.(229 nines).1.(6 nines).89
9	276	12777.(151 nines).5.(117 nines).86

We will illustrate our method in the proof below.

Proposition 2 $N_0 = 28888.(21 \text{ nines}).1.(72 \text{ nines}).89$ is the smallest number that begins a sequence of six 3-consecutive cubic happy numbers.

Proof: Let $S = S_{3,10}$. Since N_0 has 101 digits, we do not need to check any N with $S(N) \geq 101 \cdot 9^3 = 73629$. Whereas in the classic happy number case above we split off the final digit, in the cubic happy number case it is more convenient to split off the final two digits. Suppose $N \leq N_0$ begins a sequence of six 3-consecutive cubic happy numbers. Let $N = N_1.d_1.d_0$ where $0 \leq d_0, d_1 \leq 9$ are the last two digits, and set $M_1 = S(N_1)$ and $M_2 = S(N_1 + 1)$. Note that $M_1 \leq 99 \cdot 9^3 < 73629$ and that $M_2 = S(N_1 + 1) < 100 \cdot 9^3 < 73629$. For convenience let $t = d_1.d_0$.

We first check each set $\{M + S(u), M + S(u + 3), M + S(u + 6), M + S(u + 9), M + S(u + 12)\}$ with $0 \leq u \leq 87$ and $M < 73629$, and verify that no set has all five numbers cubic happy. In fact, our calculations show more; if $M < 73629$ and $u = 0, 1, \text{ or } 2$, then no set $\{M + S(u), M + S(u + 3), M + S(u + 6), M + S(u + 9)\}$ has four cubic happy numbers.

Suppose $N = N_1.t$ begins a 3-consecutive sequence of six cubic happy numbers with $t \leq 87$. Then $\{M_1 + S(t), M_1 + S(t + 3), M_1 + S(t + 6), M_1 + S(t + 9), M_1 + S(t + 12)\}$ would need to be a set of five cubic happy numbers, which we just noted cannot happen for $M_1 < 73629$.

Suppose $N = N_1.t$ begins a 3-consecutive sequence of six cubic happy numbers with $t = 97, 98, \text{ or } 99$. Then $N + 3 = (N_1 + 1).0.d_3$ where $d_3 = 0, 1, \text{ or } 2$. Thus, $\{M_2 + S(d_3), M_2 + S(d_3 + 3), M_2 + S(d_3 + 6), M_2 + S(d_3 + 9), M_2 + S(d_3 + 12)\}$ would be a set of five cubic happy numbers, which we noted never happens for $M_2 < 73629$.

Similarly, suppose $N = N_1.t$ begins a 3-consecutive sequence of six cubic happy numbers with $t = 94, 95, \text{ or } 96$. Then $N + 6 = (N_1 + 1).0.d_6$ where $d_6 = 0, 1, \text{ or } 2$. Thus, $\{M_2 + S(d_6), M_2 + S(d_6 + 3), M_2 + S(d_6 + 6), M_2 + S(d_6 + 9)\}$ would be a set of four cubic happy numbers with d_6 equal to 0, 1 or 2; as we noted above, this cannot happen for $M_2 < 73629$.

Calculations for all $M_1 < 73629$ and $t = 91$ show that $\{M_1 + S(t), M_1 + S(t + 3), M_1 + S(t + 6)\}$ can never be a set of three cubic happy numbers. Further calculation shows that for all $M_1 < 73629$ and $t = 88 \text{ or } 90$, $\{M_1 + S(t), M_1 + S(t + 3), M_1 + S(t + 6), M_1 + S(t + 9)\}$ is never a set of four cubic happy numbers.

When $t = 93$, only when $M_1 = 45001$ or $M_1 = 54019$ does the set $\{M_1 + S(t), M_1 + S(t + 3), M_1 + S(t + 6)\}$ have three cubic happy numbers.

When $t = 89$, $\{M_1 + S(t), M_1 + S(t + 3), M_1 + S(t + 6), M_1 + S(t + 9)\}$ is a set of four cubic happy numbers only when $M_1 = 16736, 69854 \text{ or } 70736$. Since $S(N_1.98) = S(N_1.89)$, we conclude that the set $\{S(N), S(N + 3), S(N + 6), S(N + 9)\} = \{M_1 + S(89), M_1 + S(92), M_1 + S(95), M_1 + S(98)\}$ with $N = N_1.89$ has four cubic happy numbers if and only if the set $\{S(N), S(N + 3), S(N + 6)\} = \{M_1 + S(92), M_1 + S(95), M_1 + S(98)\}$ with $N = N_1.92$ has three cubic happy numbers. Therefore, we do not need to consider N with $t = 92$.

Summarizing, we only need to consider five cases: $(t, M_1) = (93, 45001), (93, 54019), (89, 16736), (89, 69854), \text{ and } (89, 70736)$.

We begin by considering the two cases with $t = 93$. As in the proof of Proposition 1, we set $N_1 = N_2.d_2.9 \dots 9$ where digit $d_2 \leq 8$ and there are exactly k digits of 9 ending N_1 ; by convention, $N_2 = 0$ if N_2 is empty, and $N_2 = 0$ and $d_2 = 0$ if both are empty. When $t = 93$, $N + 9 = N_2.(d_2 + 1).0 \dots 0.02$, $N + 12 = N_2.(d_2 + 1).0 \dots 0.05$ and $N + 15 = N_2.(d_2 + 1).0 \dots 0.08$ where the $0 \dots 0$ is a string of exactly k zeros. Since $M_1 = S(N_1) = S(N_2) + d_2^3 + k \cdot 9^3$, we have $S(N + 9) = S(N_2) + (d_2 + 1)^3 + 2^3 = (M_1 - d_2^3 - k \cdot 9^3) + (d_2 + 1)^3 + 1^3$; similarly, $S(N + 12) = M_1 - d_2^3 - k \cdot 9^3 + (d_2 + 1)^3 + 5^3$ and $S(N + 15) = M_1 - d_2^3 - k \cdot 9^3 + (d_2 + 1)^3 + 8^3$. Therefore we need only check if the following are cubic happy numbers for some pair (d_2, k) where $0 \leq d_2 \leq 8$ and $0 \leq k < (M_1 - d_2^3 + (d_2 + 1)^3 + 2^3)/9^3$:

$$\begin{aligned} S(N + 9) &= M_1 - d_2^3 - k \cdot 9^3 + (d_2 + 1)^3 + 2^3, \\ S(N + 12) &= M_1 - d_2^3 - k \cdot 9^3 + (d_2 + 1)^3 + 5^3, \\ S(N + 15) &= M_1 - d_2^3 - k \cdot 9^3 + (d_2 + 1)^3 + 8^3. \end{aligned}$$

A quick computation shows that this never happens for $M_1 = 45001$ or 54019 .

Finally, we consider the cases $d = 89$ and $M_1 = 16736$, 69854 , or 70736 . Letting $N = N_1.89$, we have $N + 3 = N_1.92$, $N + 6 = N_1.95$ and $N + 9 = N_1.98$. We again decompose $N_1 = N_2.d_2.9\dots 9$ where d_2 is a digit not equal to 9, and there are exactly k digits of 9 ending N_1 (by convention, $N_2 = 0$ if N_2 is empty, and $N_2 = 0$ and $d_2 = 0$ if both are empty). Then $N + 12 = N_2.(d_2 + 1).0\dots 0.01$ and $N + 15 = N_2.(d_2 + 1).0\dots 0.04$ where the $0\dots 0$ is a string of exactly k zeros. Again we have $M_1 = S(N_1) = S(N_2) + d_2^3 + k \cdot 9^3$, so $S(N + 12) = S(N_2) + (d_2 + 1)^3 + 1^3 = M_1 - d_2^3 - k \cdot 9^3 + (d_2 + 1)^3 + 1^3$ and similarly $S(N + 15) = M_1 - d_2^3 - k \cdot 9^3 + (d_2 + 1)^3 + 4^3$.

A short calculation with $M_1 = 16736$ finds only one nonnegative integer $k < (M_1 - d_2^3 + (d_2 + 1)^3 + 2^3)/9^3$ for which $S(N + 12)$ is happy, but the $S(N + 15)$ is not cubic happy (this in fact gives the least example of a 3-consecutive sequence of five cubic happy numbers). Calculations with $M_1 = 70736$ yield no (k, d_2) with both $S(N + 12)$ and $S(N + 15)$ cubic happy. When $M_1 = 69854$, both $k = 1$, $d_2 = 1$ and also $k = 72$, $d_2 = 1$ yield cubic happy numbers $S(N + 12)$ and $S(N + 15)$. Using Lemma 9, we can calculate that the smaller N comes from $k = 72$ and $d_2 = 1$, resulting in $N = 28888 \cdot 10^{96} + (10^{21} - 1) \cdot 10^{75} + 1 \cdot 10^{74} + (10^{72} - 1) \cdot 10^2 + 89$.

Thus, our claimed value of N is indeed the lowest that can generate a 3-consecutive sequence of six cubic happy numbers.

References

- [1] A. F. Beardon, Sums of squares of digits, *The Mathematical Gazette* **82** (1998), 379–388.
- [2] E. El-Sedy and S. Siksek, On happy numbers, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **30** (2000), 565–570.
- [3] R. Honsberger, *Ingenuity in Mathematics*, New Mathematical Library, volume 23, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, D.C., 1970
- [4] H. G. Grundman and E. A. Teeple, Generalized happy numbers, *Fibonacci Quart.*, **39** (2001), 462–466.
- [5] H. G. Grundman and E. A. Teeple, Sequences of consecutive happy numbers, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **37** (2007), 1905–1916
- [6] H. G. Grundman and E. A. Teeple, Sequences of generalized happy numbers with small bases, *J. Integer Seq.*, **10** (2007), Article 07.1.8.
- [7] R. Guy, *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 2nd ed., 1994.
- [8] Hao Pan, On consecutive happy numbers, *J. Number Theory* **128** (2008), 1646–1654.
- [9] R. A. Styer Maple programs available at
http://www.homepage.villanova.edu/robert.styer/HappyNumbers/happy_numbers.htm

- [10] E. A. Teeple, An Introduction to Generalized Happy Numbers *Unpublished Undergraduate Honors Thesis*, Bryn Mawr College, dated 30 April 1998.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11A63.

Keywords: happy numbers, consecutive, base.